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## The talk in one slide

- Reachability in stochastic games
- Bounded value iteration
- BRTDP (bounded real-time dynamic programming)
- Sometimes a lot faster
- Considers subset of states
- Guided by bounds


## Reachability in stochastic games



## Reachability in stochastic games



## Bounded value iteration



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{I})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |

## Bounded value iteration



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{I})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 2 |  |  | 1 |  |
| 3 |  |  | 1 |  |

## Bounded value iteration



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{I})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| 2 |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| 3 |  |  | 1 | 0 |

## Bounded value iteration



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{I})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 |  | 1 | 0 |
| 2 |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| 3 |  |  | 1 | 0 |

## Bounded value iteration



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{\perp})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | 1 | 0 |
| 2 |  |  | 1 | 0 |
| 3 |  |  | 1 | 0 |

## Bounded value iteration



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{l})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | $1 / 3$ |  | 1 | 0 |
| 3 |  |  | 1 | 0 |

## Bounded value iteration



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{\perp})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | $1 / 3$ | $4 / 9$ | 1 | 0 |
| 3 |  |  | 1 | 0 |

## Bounded value iteration



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{\perp})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | $1 / 3$ | $4 / 9$ | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | $4 / 9$ |  | 1 | 0 |

## Bounded value iteration



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{1})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 1 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | 1 | 0 |
| 2 | $1 / 3$ | $4 / 9$ | 1 | 0 |
| 3 | $4 / 9$ | $13 / 27$ | 1 | 0 |

## Bounded value iteration



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{1})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{q})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| 2 | $1 / 3$ | $4 / 9$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| 3 | $4 / 9$ | $13 / 27$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |

## Bounded value iteration



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{I})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{q})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 2 | $1 / 3$ | $4 / 9$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 3 | $4 / 9$ | $13 / 27$ | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |

The problem of end components


## The problem of end components



Deflating
For all states in EC:
Decrease U to U(best- $\square$-exit)

## Deflating end components



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{\perp})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{q})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| 2 | $1 / 3$ | $4 / 9$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| 3 | $4 / 9$ | $13 / 27$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |

## Deflating end components



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{\perp})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{q})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | 1 | 0 | $2 / 3$ | $2 / 3$ |
| 2 | $1 / 3$ | $4 / 9$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |
| 3 | $4 / 9$ | $13 / 27$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |

## Deflating end components



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{\perp})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{q})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | 1 | 0 | $2 / 3$ | $2 / 3$ |
| 2 | $1 / 3$ | $4 / 9$ | 1 | 0 | $5 / 9$ | $5 / 9$ |
| 3 | $4 / 9$ | $13 / 27$ | 1 | 0 |  |  |

## Deflating end components



| Iteration | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{q})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{\perp})$ | $\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{o})$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{p})$ | $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{q})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | $1 / 3$ | 1 | 0 | $2 / 3$ | $2 / 3$ |
| 2 | $1 / 3$ | $4 / 9$ | 1 | 0 | $5 / 9$ | $5 / 9$ |
| 3 | $4 / 9$ | $13 / 27$ | 1 | 0 | $14 / 27$ | $14 / 27$ |

## The final problem: Simple end components



## The final problem: Simple end components



## The final problem: Simple end components



## The final problem: Simple end components



## The final problem: Simple end components



## The final problem: Simple end components



How do we know the minimal value?

## The final problem: Simple end components

$$
\begin{array}{c|ccc}
\min (\alpha, \beta) & \alpha & \beta & \alpha, \beta \\
\hline \operatorname{SEC} & \{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}\} & \{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{r}\} & \{\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{q}, \mathrm{r}\}
\end{array}
$$

How do we know the minimal value? Guess it according to $L$.

## Bounded value iteration - full picture

- Compute probability to reach target in SG
- How:
- Lower and upper bound
- Start at 0/1
- Iterative backpropagation
- Deflate SECs on the fly


## Bounded value iteration - full picture

- Compute probability to reach target in SG
- How:
- Lower and upper bound
- Start at 0/1
- Iterative backpropagation
- Deflate SECs on the fly

Convergent anytime algorithm with guarantees

## Anytime algorithm with guarantees?



| Iteration | p | q | 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $[0,1]$ | $[0,1]$ | $[1,1]$ | $[0,0]$ |
| 1 | $\left[0, \frac{2}{3}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$ | $[1,1]$ | $[0,0]$ |
| 2 | $\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{9}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{4}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right]$ | $[1,1]$ | $[0,0]$ |

## Anytime algorithm with guarantees?



| Iteration | p | q | 1 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $[0,1]$ | $[0,1]$ | $[1,1]$ | $[0,0]$ |
| 1 | $\left[0, \frac{2}{3}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}\right]$ | $[1,1]$ | $[0,0]$ |
| 2 | $\left[\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{9}\right]$ | $\left[\frac{4}{9}, \frac{5}{9}\right]$ | $[1,1]$ | $[0,0]$ |

Selectively update bounds, guided by estimates and precision

## BRTDP by example



## BRTDP by example


$\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a})=\frac{3}{4}$

## BRTDP by example



$$
\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a})=\frac{3}{4} \quad \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{~b})=\frac{2}{3}
$$

## BRTDP by example



$$
\mathrm{L}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{a})=\frac{3}{4} \quad \mathrm{U}(\mathrm{p}, \mathrm{~b})=\frac{2}{3}
$$

$\longrightarrow$ No need to explore cloud

## BRTDP by example



No need to explore cloud for $\epsilon$-optimality

## BRTDP algorithm

Simulate path:

- Pick "best" actions
- Pick "interesting" successors

Update bounds:

- When reaching target/sink, backpropagate
- When stuck in EC, deflate


## BRTDP strengths and weaknesses

Works well, if

- actions can be pruned.
- reachability probabilities become smaller than $\epsilon$.


## BRTDP strengths and weaknesses

Works well, if

- actions can be pruned.
- reachability probabilities become smaller than $\epsilon$.
- stuck-detection does not have many false positives.



## Experimental results

| Model | PRISM | BVI | BRTDP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cloud | 6 s | 59 s | 4 s |
| mdsm | 8 s | 8 s | 17 s |
| zeroconf | 7 s | 24 s | 3 s |
| csma | 2 s | 4 s | 86 s |

## Experimental results

| Model | PRISM | BVI | BRTDP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cloud | $\mathbf{6 s}$ | 59 s | $\mathbf{4 s}$ |
| mdsm | $\mathbf{8 s}$ | $\mathbf{8 s}$ | 17 s |
| zeroconf | $\mathbf{7 s}$ | 24 s | $\mathbf{3 s}$ |
| csma | $\mathbf{2 s}$ | $\mathbf{4 s}$ | 86 s |

## Experimental results

| Model | PRISM | BVI | BRTDP |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| cloud | 6 s | 59 s | 4 s |
| mdsm | 8 s | 8 s | 17 s |
| zeroconf | 7 s | 24 s | 3 s |
| csma | 2 s | 4 s | 86 s |

## Conclusion

- Convergent guaranteed anytime algorithm for stochastic games
- Exploiting bounds for BRTDP
- Great speedup on some models


## Conclusion

- Convergent guaranteed anytime algorithm for stochastic games
- Exploiting bounds for BRTDP
- Great speedup on some models

Future work:

- Other objectives
- Limited information setting
- Other learning algorithms

